July 1, 2005

Mr. Ernesto Rodriguez Assistant City Attorney City of El Paso 2 Civic Center Plaza, 9th Floor El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2005-05842

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 227272.

The El Paso Police Department (the "department") received a request for two specified internal affairs investigation files. You state that you have released some of the requested information, but you claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses the doctrine of common law right of privacy, which excepts from disclosure information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. But this office has found that the public has a legitimate interest in information relating to employees of governmental bodies and their employment qualifications and job performance. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 562 at 10 (1990), 542 at 5 (1990); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow).

In Morales v. Ellen, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied), the court addressed the applicability of the common law privacy doctrine to files of an investigation of allegations of sexual harassment in an employment context. We note, however, that the subject of the submitted internal affairs case is not an investigation of sexual harassment. Therefore, the privacy concerns expressed in Ellen do not apply to the submitted information. In addition, we do not find the information at issue to be highly intimate or embarrassing. Accordingly, none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common law privacy.

You also claim that a portion of the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from public disclosure the home address, home telephone number, and social security number of a peace officer, as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, as well as information that reveals whether the peace officer has family members, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with section 552.024 or 552.1175 in electing for the confidentiality of such information. Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2). We note that the requestor has a special right of access to her own section 552.117 information. See Gov't Code § 552.023(a). Information to which the requestor has a right of access under section 552.023 may not be withheld from her under section 552.117. See Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when individual requests information concerning herself). Accordingly, the department must release the requestor's section 552.117 information to her. As you raise no additional exceptions to disclosure, and the submitted information is not otherwise confidential by law, it must be released to the requestor.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We note, however, that if the department receives another request for information from a different requestor, the department should again seek a decision from us before releasing this information to such a requestor. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302; Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001).

benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.  $Id. \S 552.353(b)(3)$ , (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling.  $Id. \S 552.321(a)$ .

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Caroline E. Cho

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

CEC/sdk

## Mr. Ernesto Rodriguez - Page 4

Ref: ID# 227272

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Sgt. Angela Sommers 5305 Rockwood El Paso, Texas 79932 (w/o enclosures)